

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 04/13/2004

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 81762/210 4027 CRAIG H. BAKER 09/374,681 09/19/2002 EXAMINER 04/13/2004 FEILD, LYNN DIANA GUNNER G. LEINBERG, ESQ. NIXON PEABODY LLP ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER **CLINTON SQUARE** 2839 P.O. BOX 31051 ROCHESTER, NY 14603

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		1 A 11 - 12 - 12 - 12 - 12 - 12 - 12 - 1	<u>u</u>
		Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/374,681	BAKER ET AL.	
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit
		Son V Nguyen	2839
Period	The MAILING DATE of this communication a for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	rith the correspondence address
THE - Ex aft - If t - If t - Fa An	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI E MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION tensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR er SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. he period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per illure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta by reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma rned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thi iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26	<u> 5 January 2004</u> .	
	-	his action is non-final.	
3)[Since this application is in condition for allow		
	closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.I	D. 11, 4 53 O.G. 213.
Dispos	ition of Claims		
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the application.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without	drawn from consideration.	
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
	Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are rejected.		
7)[_ 8_	Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement	
0)∟	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	a/or election requirement.	
Applica	ation Papers		
•	The specification is objected to by the Exam		
10)∑	The drawing(s) filed on 26 January 0200 is/a		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to t		
111	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corn The oath or declaration is objected to by the		
11)	_ The bath of declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	a chiec / total of form 1 7 c 102.
Priority	/ under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
	☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a)	ign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
•	1.☐ Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received.	
	2. Certified copies of the priority docum		Application No
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the p		
	application from the International Bur		
	application from the international Bar	eau (PC) Rule 17.2(a)).	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

Attachment(s)

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.

6) Other: _

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 2839

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. The amendment filed 1/26/2004 is acknowledged. It consists of changes to the drawings, the specification, claims 1, 2, 4 and 5, added new claims 6-17, and remarks related to the claims. The claims are not allowable as explained below.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-5, 8-11 and 14-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ostapovitch (US 4,076,369).

The claimed invention reads on Ostapovitch as follows:

Regarding claims 1, 8 and 14, Ostapovitch discloses an electrical socket contact [10, figures 4-6] and a method of making/mating the socket contact with a pin contact [indicated in a full outline 20, figure 6], comprising:

- an electrically conductive body [11] having a pin contact engaging bore; and

Art Unit: 2839

- the body [11] comprises two guide portions [28, 28] are read on applicant's at least two pin contact arc-shaped receiving elements extending into the bore, wherein the arc-shaped receiving elements are spaced apart across the bore and having a distance that is greater than a maximum transverse dimension of the pin contact [figures 4 and 6], and a plurality of spring contacts [25, 27] spaced in from the arc-shaped receiving elements along the bore.

Regarding claims 2, 9 and 15, Ostapovitch also discloses an aperture [figure 4] defined in the body between a contact [25], a side [17] and a pin contact arc-shaped receiving element [28].

Regarding claims 3 and 10, Ostapovitch also discloses the pin contact arc-shaped receiving elements [28] comprises stamped or curved inwardly extending projections [figure 4].

Regarding claims 4 and 11, Ostapovitch also discloses the socket contact [11] is formed a single sheet of material [figure 4].

Regarding claim 5, Ostapovitch also discloses when the pin contact is inserted into the bore, the pin takes place in the sequence of: contact with the socket contact itself (at a front edge of the socket contact, figure 4), then the arc-shaped receiving elements [28, 28] and then a domed contact areas [27, 27] of the spring contacts.

All the elements recited in the claims are read in the reference, and the method claims are inherit of the product claims.

Art Unit: 2839

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 6, 12 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ostapovitch in view of Henricus op ten Berg (US 5,554,056).

Ostapovitch discloses the instant claimed invention as described above except for a latch spaced in from the spring contacts along the bore.

Henricus op ten Berg discloses a socket contact [2, figure 1] comprises a latch [16] spaced in from spring contacts [30] along a bore defined between the spring contacts.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to modify the socket contact of Ostapovitch to provide the latch as taught by Henricus op ten Berg in order to facilitate retaining the socket contact within a connector housing, as is well known in the art of the electrical connector.

6. Claims 7, 13 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ostapovitch in view of Borne et al. (France Patent No. 2 512 283).

Ostapovitch discloses the instant claimed invention as described above except for the arc receiving elements are fixed, non-cantilevered, are receiving elements.

Art Unit: 2839

Borne et al. discloses a socket contact [14, figure 6] comprises a closed loop, front body section having a plurality of bosses [26, figure 1] formed therein, the bosses are read on applicant's the arc receiving elements are fixed, non-cantilevered, are receiving elements.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to modify a front portion of the socket contact with the pin contact arc-shaped receiving elements of Ostapovitch to provide the closed loop front body section having a plurality of bosses as taught by Borne et al. in order to provide more strength and reduce torsional stresses on the body of the socket contact.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-5 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Ostapovitch discloses an electrical socket contact [10, figures 4-6] and a method of making/mating the socket contact with a pin contact [indicated in a full outline 20, figure 6], comprising at least two pin contact arc-shaped receiving elements [28, 28] extending into the bore, wherein the arc-shaped receiving elements are spaced apart across the bore and having a distance that is greater than a maximum transverse dimension of the pin contact [figures 4 and 6], and a plurality of spring contacts [25, 27] spaced in from the arc-shaped receiving elements along the bore, as applicant newly amended in the claims.

Art Unit: 2839

Borne et al. teaches a socket contact [14, figure 6] comprises a closed loop, front body section having a plurality of bosses [26, figure 1] formed therein, the bosses are read on applicant's the arc receiving elements are fixed, non-cantilevered, are receiving elements.

Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner 9. should be directed to Son V Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-2097. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

Art Unit: 2839

supervisor, Lynn Feild can be reached on (571) 272-2092. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

sn

March 18, 2004

SON V. NGUYEN
PATENT EXAMINER